arXiv:1111.4732v1 [math.RT] 21 Nov 2011

Admissible embedding of L-groups and essentially tame local Langlands correspondence

Geo Kam-Fai Tam
Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto
`geo.tam@utoronto.ca`

November 22, 2011

Abstract

Let F be a non-Archimedean local field and G be the general linear group GL_n over F. Based on the previous results of the author, we can describe the Langlands parameter of an essentially tame supercuspidal representation of $G(F)$ by those admissible embeddings of L-groups constructed by Langlands and Shelstad. We therefore provide a different interpretation on Bushnell and Henniart's essentially tame local Langlands correspondence.

Contents

1 Introduction 2
1.1 Historical background . . . 2
1.2 Main results of the author . . . 3
1.3 Notations and conventions . . . 5

2 Previous results 6
2.1 Admissible characters . . . 6
2.2 Induced representations and admissible embeddings . . . 6
2.3 Finite symplectic modules . . . 8
2.4 Complementary modules . . . 9
2.5 Table of values . . . 9
2.5.1 Galois groups . . . 9
2.5.2 Symplectic signs . . . 10
2.5.3 Quadratic Gauss sums . . . 11
2.5.4 Rectifiers . . . 11

3 Main results 12
3.1 The asymmetric case . . . 13
3.2 The symmetric ramified case . . . 13
3.2.1 The case $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_{l+1}$ . . . 13
3.2.2 The case $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_l$ . . . 14
3.2.3 The case $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_{l-1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{D}_1$ . . . 17
3.3 The symmetric unramified case . . . 18
3.3.1 The case $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) = 1$ . . . 18
3.3.2 The case $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) = -1$ . . . 19
3.3.3 The case $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) \neq \pm 1$ . . . 19
3.4 Proof of Proposition 3.5 . . . 21

4 Related results (in preparation) 21

5 Appendix (in preparation) 22
5.1 Transfer factors 22
5.2 Archimedean case 22

1 Introduction

1.1 Historical background

Let F be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic 0, with residue field $\mathbf{k}_F$ of order q a power of p. Let G be GL_n the general linear group of invertible $n\times n$ matrices over F. We know that the supercuspidal spectrum of $G(F)$ is bijective to the collection of n-dimensional irreducible semi-simple complex representations of the Weil group W_F of F. This is a major result of the local Langlands correspondence for GL_n proved in [HT01].

Bushnell and Henniart described in [BH05a] such correspondence when restricted to the essentially tame case, which is briefly summarized as follows. For each irreducible semi-simple n-dimensional complex representation σ of W_F, let $f(\sigma)$ be the number of unramified characters χ of W_F such that $\chi\otimes\sigma\cong\sigma$. We call σ *essentially tame* if p does not divide $n/f(\sigma)$. Let $\mathcal{G}^{et}_n(F)$ be the set of equivalence classes of essentially tame irreducible representations of degree n. Similarly, for each irreducible supercuspidal representation (or supercuspidal for short) π of $G(F)$ let $f(\pi)$ be the number of unramified characters χ of $F^\times$ that $\chi\otimes\pi\cong\pi$. Here χ is regarded as a representation of $G(F)$ by factoring through the determinant map. We call π *essentially tame* if p does not divide $n/f(\pi)$. Let $\mathcal{A}^{et}_n(F)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of essentially tame irreducible supercuspidals. Bushnell and Henniart proved that there exists a unique bijection

$$\mathcal{L}={}_F\mathcal{L}^{et}_n:\mathcal{G}^{et}_n(F)\to\mathcal{A}^{et}_n(F), \tag{1}$$

which satisfies certain canonical conditions together with the compatibilities of automorphic induction [HH95] and base-change [AC89] for cyclic extensions on both sides (see the precise statement in Proposition 3.2 of [BH05a]). We call the map $\mathcal{L}$ the *essentially tame local Langlands Correspondence.*

To describe $\mathcal{L}$ we first recall the following setup. A character ξ of $E^\times$ is called *admissible* over F if

(i) it does not factor through any proper norm, and

(ii) if its restriction on U^1_E factors through some proper norm $N_{E/K}$ for some K/F then E/K is unramified.

We introduce the third set $P_n(F)$ of equivalence classes $(E/F,\xi)$ of F-admissible characters ξ of $E^\times$ where E goes through tame extensions over F of degree n. By [BH05a] we know that $P_n(F)$ bijectively parameterizes both $\mathcal{G}^{et}_n(F)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{et}_n(F)$ simultaneously. We denote the bijections by

$$\sigma:P_n(F)\to\mathcal{G}^{et}_n(F),\ (E/F,\xi)\mapsto\sigma_\xi \tag{2}$$

and

$$\pi:P_n(F)\to\mathcal{A}^{et}_n(F),\ (E/F,\xi)\mapsto\pi_\xi. \tag{3}$$

It is easy to describe the correspondence σ, which is simply the induction of representation $\sigma_\xi=\mathrm{Ind}^{W_F}_{W_E}\xi$ if we regard ξ as a character of W_E by class field theory [Tat79]. The correspondence π is a compact induction of the form

$$\pi_\xi=\mathrm{cInd}^{G(F)}_{\mathbf{J}_\xi}\Lambda_\xi$$

for certain representation Λ_ξ on a compact-mod-center subgroup $\mathbf{J}_\xi$ of $G(F)$. This representation is an extended maximal type associated to ξ in the sense of [BK93]. In the case $p\nmid n$, any irreducible supercuspidals of $GL_n(F)$ and any n-dimensional irreducible complex representations of W_F are essentially tame, and $P_n(F)$ consists of all (E,ξ) for E goes through separable extensions over F of degree n. In this case the correspondence of $\mathcal{G}^{et}_n(F)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{et}_n(F)$ using $P_n(F)$ as parameters was studied in [Moy86] and [Rei91].

With the setup above, we can describe $\mathcal{L}$ as follows. The composition of the bijections (3), (1) and the inverse of (2)

$$\mu:P_n(F)\xrightarrow{\sigma}\mathcal{G}^{et}_n(F)\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{A}^{et}_n(F)\xrightarrow{\pi^{-1}}P_n(F)$$

does not give the identity map on $P_n(F)$. Bushnell and Henniart proved in [BH10] that for any admissible character ξ of $E^\times$, there is a unique tamely ramified character ${}_F\mu_\xi$ of $E^\times$, depending on the wild part $\xi|_{U_E^1}$ of ξ, so that ${}_F\mu_\xi\xi$ is also admissible and

$$\mu(E,\xi) = (E, {}_F\mu_\xi\xi).$$

We call ${}_F\mu_\xi$ the rectifier of ξ. In the series [BH05a], [BH05b],[BH10], they express ${}_F\mu_\xi$ explicitly, and hence also the correspondence $\mathcal{L}$.

We briefly explain how to deduce the values of ${}_F\mu_\xi$. We construct a sequence of subfields

$$F \subseteq K \subseteq K_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K_l \subseteq E,$$

which satisfies the following conditions.

(i) K/F is the maximal unramified sub-extension of E/F;

(ii) $K_l/K_{l-1}, \dots, K_1/K$ are quadratic totally ramified;

(iii) E/K_l is totally ramified of odd degree d.

Each rectifier ${}_F\mu_\xi$ admits a factorization

$$ {}_F\mu_\xi = ({}_{K/F}\mu_\xi)({}_{K_1/K}\mu_\xi)\cdots({}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_\xi)({}_{E/K_l}\mu_\xi) \tag{4}$$

with each factor being tamely ramified. The approach is to deduce each ${}_{L/M}\mu_\xi$ on the right hand side through an induction process. In the initial case $(L,M) = (E,K_l)$, the rectifier ${}_{E/K_l}\mu_\xi$ can be computed after establishing a base-change argument with the help of automorphic induction (see Theorem 4.4 and 4.6 of [BH05a]). Suppose that ${}_{K_{j+1}/K_j}\mu_\xi, \dots, {}_{E/E_l}\mu_\xi$ are all known. Since K_j/K_{j-1} is cyclic by construction, we can make use of the automorphic induction formula in the sense of [HH95], and then compare it with the Mackey induction formula from the compact induction in (3). After extensive arguments of elaborate representation theoretic technique as in [BH05b], [BH10], we boil down both formulae in terms of ξ only. By a careful comparison we arrive in expressing the values of ${}_{K_j/K_{j-1}}\mu_\xi$.

1.2 Main results of the author

The author's main result is to express the Bushnell-Henniart's rectifier, and hence the essentially tame local Langlands correspondence, in terms of admissible embeddings of L-groups. Recall in [LS87] that Langlands and Shelstad introduced a collection of characters, called χ-data, to construct certain admissible embedding of L-groups ${}^LT \hookrightarrow {}^LG$ for a pair (G,T) of a quasi-split reductive group G containing T as a maximal torus. In the case $(G,T) = (GL_n, \mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\mathbb{G}_m)$, a collection χ-data is a set of characters $\{\chi_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi}$. Here λ runs through a suitable subset of representatives of the W_F-orbits of the root system $\Phi = \Phi(G,T)$. We fix such a subset of Φ once and for all and denote it by $W_F\backslash\Phi$. For each $\lambda \in W_F\backslash\Phi$, the character χ_λ is defined on the multiplicative group of a field extension E_λ of E. The precise description

$$\{\chi_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi} \mapsto (\chi_{\{\chi_\lambda,\xi\}} : {}^LT \to {}^LG)$$

of admissible character constructed from χ-data, χ-admissible character for short, can be found in (2.5) of [LS87].

The main result of this article is that particular χ-admissible embeddings establish the essentially tame local Langlands correspondence.

Theorem 1.1. *For any admissible ξ, the rectifier ${}_F\mu_\xi$ has a factorization of the form*

$${}_F\mu_\xi = \prod_{\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi} \chi_{\lambda,\xi}|_{E^\times}$$

for canonical choices of tamely ramified χ-data $\{\chi_{\lambda,\xi}\}_\lambda$ depending on ξ.

Therefore we can interpret the essentially tame local Langlands correspondence in a reversed way as follows. Recall the local Langlands correspondence for the tori T

$$\mathrm{Hom}(E^\times, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong H^1(W_F, \hat{T}).$$

Let $\tilde{\xi} : W_F \to {}^LT$ be a 1-cocycle corresponding to the admissible character $\xi : E^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Moreover given the χ-data as in Theorem 1.1, we consider the inverse collection $\{\chi_{\lambda,\xi}^{-1}\}_\lambda$ which is also a χ-data by definition. Let $\chi_{\{\chi_{\lambda,\xi}^{-1}\}} : {}^LT \to {}^LG$ be the admissible embedding defined by the χ-data $\{\chi_{\lambda,\xi}^{-1}\}_\lambda$.

Corollary 1.2. *The natural projection of the Langlands parameter* $\chi_{\{\chi_{\lambda,\xi}^{-1}\}} \circ \tilde{\xi} : W_F \to {}^LT \to {}^LG$ *onto* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ *is isomorphic to* $\sigma_{{}_F\mu_\xi^{-1}\xi} = \mathrm{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}({}_F\mu_\xi^{-1}\xi)$ *as representations of* W_F.

Indeed Corollary 1.2 is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 1.1 and a result Proposition 4.2 in [Tama].

We give some idea in establishing Theorem 1.1. The choices of χ-data $\{\chi_{\lambda,\xi}\}_\lambda$ are related to certain finite symplectic modules defined as follows. Denote $\Psi_{E/F} = E^\times/F^\times U_E^1$. For each admissible character ξ there is a finite symplectic $\mathbf{k}_F\Psi_{E/F}$-module $V = V_\xi$ emerges from the bijection (3) with respect to an alternating bilinear form on V naturally defined by ξ. The precise details of the construction of V can be found in [BK93], [BH10]. As in [Tamb] we embed each V into a fixed finite $\mathbf{k}_F\Psi_{E/F}$-module U which is independent of the admissible character ξ. Such module admits a complete decomposition

$$U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in W_F\backslash\Phi} U_\lambda.$$

This decomposition is called the *residual root space decomposition*, which is analogous to the root space decomposition of Lie algebra in the absolute case. Therefore by restriction the submodule V admits a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in W_F\backslash\Phi} V_\lambda.$$

By construction we can show that V_λ is either trivial or isomorphic to U_λ. In [Tamb] we proved that such decomposition on V is orthogonal, with symplectic components

$$\mathbb{V}_\lambda = \begin{cases} V_\lambda \oplus V_{-\lambda}, & \text{if } {}^{W_F}\lambda \neq {}^{W_F}(-\lambda), \text{ i.e. asymmetric} \\ V_\lambda, & \text{if } {}^{W_F}\lambda = {}^{W_F}(-\lambda), \text{ i.e. symmetric} \end{cases}.$$

Let W_λ be the complementary module of V_λ so that

$$V_\lambda \oplus W_\lambda = U_\lambda.$$

Let μ and ϖ be the subgroups of $\Psi_{E/F}$ generated by the image of a primitive root of unity $\zeta \in \mu_E$ and a chosen prime element ϖ_E of E respectively. What we are interested are the $\mathbf{k}_F\mu$-module and the $\mathbf{k}_F\varpi$-module structures of these V_λ.

For each $\lambda \in W_F\backslash\Phi$ the values of our desired character $\chi_{\lambda,\xi}$ will depend on certain invariants called t-factors. We briefly introduce these factors as follows.

(i) By regarding each symplectic component $\mathbb{V}_\lambda = V_\lambda \oplus V_{-\lambda}$ or V_λ (depending on the symmetry of λ) as $\mathbf{k}_F\mu$-module and $\mathbf{k}_F\varpi$-module respectively, we have the symplectic signs

$$t_\mu^0(\mathbb{V}_\lambda),\ t_\mu^1(\mathbb{V}_\lambda),\ t_\varpi^0(\mathbb{V}_\lambda), \text{ and } t_\varpi^1(\mathbb{V}_\lambda).$$

Here $t_\mu^0(\mathbb{V}_\lambda)$ and $t_\varpi^0(\mathbb{V}_\lambda)$ are signs ±1, while $t_\mu^1(\mathbb{V}_\lambda) : \mu \to \{\pm1\}$ and $t_\varpi^1(\mathbb{V}_\lambda) : \varpi \to \{\pm1\}$ are quadratic characters. They are all defined by the algorithm in section 3 of [BH10] and are computed in [Tamb] for each $\lambda \in W_F\backslash\Phi$.

(ii) For each W_λ we attach a Gauss sum $t(W_\lambda)$ with respect to certain quadratic form on W_λ. They are computed in section 4 of [BH05b].

We then assign a collection of tamely ramified characters $\{\chi_{\lambda,\xi}\}_{\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi}$ in terms of the t-factors above. The precise values are given in Theorem 3.1. To prove Theorem 1.1, it remains to show that

Proposition 1.3. *If ${}_{L/M}\mu_\xi$ is one of the factors in (4), then*

$$ {}_{L/M}\mu_\xi = \prod_{\substack{\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi \\ \lambda|_M\equiv 1,\, \lambda|_L\neq 1}} \chi_{\lambda,\xi}|_{E^\times}. $$

The proof of Proposition 1.3, and hence that of Theorem 1.1, occupies the whole section 3 of this article.

With such chosen χ-data we have the following consequence on arbitrary rectifier. Let L be an intermediate subfield between E/F. We regard an F-admissible character ξ as being admissible over L and compute the corresponding the rectifier ${}_L\mu_\xi$. Then we have (Corollary 3.7)

$$ {}_L\mu_\xi = \prod_{\substack{\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi \\ \lambda|_L\equiv 1}} \chi_{\lambda,\xi}|_{E^\times}. $$

Notice that the index set $\{\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi,\ \lambda|_L\equiv 1\}$ above can be identified with $W_L\backslash\Phi_L(\mathrm{GL}_{|E/L|},\mathrm{Res}_{E/L}\mathbb{G}_m)$, the W_L-orbits of the root system of the maximal torus $\mathrm{Res}_{E/L}\mathbb{G}_m$ in the group $\mathrm{GL}_{|E/L|}$ over L.

During the proofs of the above statements, we need to have an explicit expression of each orbit $\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi$. As in [Tama] and [Tamb] we once again identify $W_F\backslash\Phi$ with the non-trivial double cosets $(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E) - \{W_E\}$. In subsequent sections our indexes $\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi$ will be replaced by group elements $g\in W_F$ that represent the corresponding double cosets.

1.3 Notations and conventions

Let E/F be a tame extension of degree n, with ramification index e and residue degree f. The group $F^\times$ decomposes into subgroups

$$ \langle\varpi_F\rangle\times\mu_F\times U_F^1 $$

namely the group generated by a prime element, the group of roots of unity, and the 1-unit group. We have similar decomposition for $E^\times$. By [Lan94] II, Sec 5 we can always assume our choices of ϖ_E and ϖ_F satisfy

$$ \varpi_E^e = \zeta_{E/F}\varpi_F \text{ for some } \zeta_{E/F}\in\mu_E. $$

Let $\mathbf{k}_F$ be the residual field of F. We may identify μ_F with $\mathbf{k}_F^\times$ in the canonical way. Write $\Psi_{E/F} = E^\times/F^\times U_E^1$. It has two cyclic subgroups $\mu=\mu_E/\mu_F$ and $\varpi=\langle\varpi_E\rangle$ the subgroup in $\Psi_{E/F}$ generated by ϖ_E.

Let $W_E\subseteq W_F$ be the corresponding Weil groups of the fields E/F. We denote induction $\mathrm{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}$ by $\mathrm{Ind}_{E/F}$ and restriction $\mathrm{Res}_{W_E}^{W_F}$ by $\mathrm{Res}_{E/F}$. We write $\delta_{E/F} = \det\mathrm{Ind}_{E/F}1_{W_E}$. This character of W_F is computed in Chapter 2 of [Moy86].

Suppose H acts on a set X. For $h\in H$ and $x\in X$, we write ${}^h x$ for the action of h on x. The H-orbit of $x\in X$ is denoted by ${}^H x$. The collection of all H-orbits of X is denoted by $H\backslash X$. The set of fixed points is denoted by X^H. If f is a map whose domain is X, we write ${}^h f(x) = f^{h^{-1}}(x) = f({}^{h^{-1}}x)$.

Given a finite field V and a multiplicative subgroup H of V, we write $\mathrm{sgn}_H(V)$ to be the sign character of the multiplicative action of H on V, and for $h\in H$ we write $\mathrm{sgn}_h(V)=\mathrm{sgn}_H(V)(h)$. For a group morphism $f: H\to V^\times$, we write $\mathrm{sgn}_{f(H)}(V)$ as a character of H. For any finite cyclic group K, we write

$$ \left(\frac{x}{K}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x\in K^2 \\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. $$

For any integer N and odd integer M, we write $\left(\frac{N}{M}\right)$ to be the Jacobi symbol, μ_N to be the group of Nth roots of unity, and ζ_N to be a primitive Nth root of unity.

Throughout we fix an additive character $\psi_F: F\to\mathbb{C}^\times$ with level 0, i.e. ψ_F is trivial on $\mathfrak{p}_F$ but not on $\mathfrak{o}_F$.

2 Previous results

2.1 Admissible characters

Let ξ be a character of $E^\times$, i.e. a smooth morphism $E^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Definition 2.1.
1. The E-level $r_E(\xi)$ of ξ is the minimum integer r so that $\xi|_{U_E^{r+1}} \equiv 1$.
2. We say that ξ is tamely ramified or just tame if $r_E(\xi) = 0$.

$\square$

Suppose ξ is admissible over F as defined in section 1. From [Moy86] we know that ξ admits a *Howe-factorization* of the form

$$\xi = (\xi_{d+1} \circ N_{E/F})(\xi_d \circ N_{E/E_d}) \cdots (\xi_0 \circ N_{E/E_0})\xi_{-1}.$$

We need to specify the notations appeared above.

1. We have a decreasing sequence of fields
$$E = E_{-1} \supseteq E_0 \supsetneq E_1 \cdots \supsetneq E_d \supsetneq E_{d+1} = F.$$
Each ξ_i is a character of $E_i^\times$, and ξ_d is a character of $F^\times$.
2. Let r_i be the E-level of ξ_i, i.e. the E-level of $\xi_i \circ N_{E/E_i}$, and r_{d+1} be the E-level of ξ. We assume that ξ_{d+1} is trivial if $r_{d+1} = r_d$. We call the E-levels $r_0 < \cdots < r_d$ the jumps of ξ.
3. If $E_0 = E$, then we replace $(\xi_0 \circ N_{E/E_0})\xi_{-1}$ by ξ_0. If $E_0 \subsetneq E$ we have that ξ_{-1} is tame and E/E_0 is unramified.

There is also a generic property [Moy86] for which those ξ_i canonically satisfy. The genericity implies that

$$\gcd(r_j, e(E/E_{j+1})) = e(E/E_j). \tag{5}$$

Let $P(E/F)$ be the set of admissible characters of $E^\times$ over F. Two admissible characters $\xi \in P(E/F)$ and $\xi' \in P(E'/F)$ are called equivalent if there is $g \in W_F$ that ${}^gE = E'$ and ${}^g\xi = \xi'$. Following [BH05a] we denote the equivalence class of ξ by $(E/F, \xi)$. Let $P_n(F)$ be the set of equivalence classes of admissible characters, i.e.

$$P_n(F) = \text{equivalence}\backslash \left(\bigcup_E P(E/F) \right)$$

for E goes through tame extensions over F of degree n.

Definition 2.2. the jump data of ξ are the sequences of subfields $E \supseteq E_0 \supsetneq E_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq E_d \supsetneq F$ and the jumps $r_0, \ldots, r_d$. $\square$

We can define a W_F-action on the sequence

$$g : \{E \supseteq E_0 \supsetneq E_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq E_d \supsetneq F\} \mapsto \{{}^gE \supseteq {}^gE_0 \supsetneq {}^gE_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq {}^gE_d \supsetneq F\}$$

for all $g \in W_F$. The jumps of ${}^g\xi$ is clearly the same as those of ξ. Hence we can define a jump data of the equivalence class $(E/F, \xi)$ in the obvious sense.

2.2 Induced representations and admissible embeddings

We write $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ and $T = \mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\mathbb{G}_m$, both regarded as algebraic groups over F. We choose an F-embedding of T into G. Attached to the root system $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ is a collection of characters, called χ-data, defined as follows. Let E_λ and $E_{\pm\lambda}$ be the respective fixed fields of the following stabilizers

$$\{w \in W_F | w\lambda = \lambda\} \text{ and } \{w \in W_F | w\lambda = \pm\lambda\}. \tag{6}$$

We call $\lambda \in \Phi$ symmetric if $-\lambda \in {}^{W_F}\lambda$, and asymmetric otherwise.

Definition 2.3. We call a collection $\{\chi_\lambda : E_{+\lambda}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times\}_{\lambda\in\Phi}$ of characters χ-data if it satisfies

(i) $\chi_{-\lambda} = \chi_\lambda^{-1}$ and $\chi_{w\lambda} = \chi_\lambda^{w^{-1}}$ for all $w \in W_F$;

(ii) if λ is symmetric, then $\chi|_{E_{\pm\lambda}^\times}$ equals the quadratic character $\chi_{E_{+\lambda}/E_{\pm\lambda}}$.

$\square$

Notice that by condition (i) it suffices to attach characters to the symmetrized orbits of Φ, i.e. if $\mathcal{R}$ is a subset of Φ representing the following orbits

$$W_F\backslash\{\lambda\in\Phi \text{ symmetric}\}\bigsqcup W_F\backslash\{\lambda\in\Phi \text{ asymmetric}\}\,/\,\{\lambda\sim-\lambda\}$$

then the collection $\{\chi_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Phi}$ is determined by its subset $\{\chi_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{R}}$.

For computational convenience, we make use of the following fact.

Proposition 2.4. *The collection of W_F-orbits of Φ is bijective to the non-trivial double cosets $(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'$ by*

$$W_F\backslash\Phi \to (W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)',\ {}^{W_F}\lambda = {}^{W_F}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} g\\ 1\end{smallmatrix}\right] \mapsto [g] := W_E g W_E$$

where $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} g\\ 1\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ is the root such that $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} g\\ 1\end{smallmatrix}\right]t = {}^g t t^{-1}$ for any $t\in T(F)=E^\times$. $\square$

We write $\chi_g = \chi_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} g\\ 1\end{smallmatrix}\right]}$, $E_g = E_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} g\\ 1\end{smallmatrix}\right]} = {}^gEE$, and $E_{\pm g} = E_{\pm\left[\begin{smallmatrix} g\\ 1\end{smallmatrix}\right]}$. Analogous to the description of the roots, we call $g\in W_F$ symmetric if the double cosets $[g]$ and $[g^{-1}]$ are equal, and asymmetric otherwise. Notice that by condition (i) of a collection χ-data $\{\chi_\lambda\}$ is determined by its subset $\{\chi_g\}$ for $g\in W_F/W_E$ runs through a set of representatives of non-trivial double cosets $(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'$ modulo symmetry, i.e. if $\mathcal{D}$ is a subset of W_F representing the following double cosets

$$\{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)' \text{ symmetric}\}\bigsqcup\{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)' \text{ asymmetric}\}\,/\,\{[g]\sim[g^{-1}]\}$$

then the collection $\{\chi_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Phi}$ is determined by $\{\chi_g\}_{g\in\mathcal{D}}$.

The purpose of introducing χ-data is to construct certain embedding of the L-groups ${}^LT \hookrightarrow {}^LG$.

Definition 2.5. An *admissible embedding* from ${}^LT$ to ${}^LG$ is a morphism of groups $\chi : {}^LT \to {}^LG$ of the form

$$\chi(t\rtimes w) = \iota(t)\bar{\chi}(w)\rtimes w$$

for some injective morphism $\iota : \hat{T}\to\hat{G}$ and some map $\bar{\chi} : W_F \to \hat{G}$. $\square$

The precise construction of an admissible embedding is in [LS87] and is summarized in [Tama]. We can interpret any admissible embeddings by induced representations of Weil groups as follows. Take a character ξ of $E^\times$. An application of Shapiro's Lemma gives the local Langlands correspondence for the torus T

$$\mathrm{Hom}(W_E,\mathbb{C}^\times) = H^1(W_F,\hat{T}) = \mathrm{Hom}(W_F,{}^LT)/\mathrm{Int}\hat{T}.$$

If $[\tilde{\xi}]$ is a 1-cohomology class corresponding to ξ by above, let $\tilde{\xi}\in\mathrm{Hom}_{W_F}(W_F,{}^LT)$ be a representative of $[\tilde{\xi}]$. Suppose that χ is an admissible embedding ${}^LT\to{}^LG$ constructed by χ-data $\{\chi_g\}$. Let μ to be the character

$$\mu_{\{\chi_g\}} = \prod_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'} \mathrm{Res}_{E^\times}^{E_g^\times}\chi_g.$$

A remark here is that if $[g]=[h]$, then $\chi_g|_{E^\times} = \chi_h|_{E^\times}$. Hence μ is a well-defined character, uniquely determined by the χ-data $\{\chi_g\}$. Finally let $\mathrm{proj} : {}^LG \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the canonical projection.

Proposition 2.6 (Proposition 4.2 of [Tama])**.** *The representation*

$$W_F \xrightarrow{\tilde{\xi}} {}^LT \xrightarrow{\chi} {}^LG \xrightarrow{\mathrm{proj}} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ind}_{E/F}(\xi\mu)$. $\square$

2.3 Finite symplectic modules

In [Tamb] we briefly studied the correspondence $P_n(F) \to \mathcal{A}_n^{et}(F)$, whose detail is refereed to [Moy86], [BK93], [BH05a]. We are interested in the finite symplectic $\mathbf{k}_F\Psi_{E/F}$-module $V = V_\xi$ emerged during the process.

The module V is a quotient of two compact subgroups $H^1_\xi \subseteq J^1_\xi$ in $G(F)$ of which the maximal torus $E^\times$ normalizes both of them. It is known that the $E^\times$-action on V factors through $\Psi_{E/F} = E^\times/F^\times U^1_E$. Moreover, we can choose a character θ of H^1 among those simple characters of ξ. (There is a canonical one among the poll given in chapter 3 of [Moy86], which is defined using the fixed additive character ψ_F in section 1.3.) Such simple character gives rise to an alternating $\mathbb{F}_p$-bilinear form h_θ on V. Hence we obtain a finite symplectic $\mathbf{k}_F\Psi_{E/F}$-module structure on V. In [BH10] we have the following structural property of V.

Proposition 2.7. *The $\mathbf{k}_F E^\times$-module V decomposes into an orthogonal sum $V = V_{E_0/E_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{E_d/F}$ with respect to the alternating form h_θ such that for each $j = 0, \dots, d$,*

(i) $V_{E_j/E_{j+1}}$ is non-trivial if and only if the jump r_j is even, and

(ii) the $E^\times_{j+1}$-action on $V_{E_j/E_{j+1}}$ is trivial.

□

The $\mathbf{k}_F\Psi_{E/F}$-module V is a submodule module of $U = \mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{P}_\mathfrak{A}$ where $\mathfrak{A}$ is the hereditary order in $\mathrm{End}_F(E)$ defined by the $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice $\{\mathfrak{p}_E^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in E and $\mathfrak{P}_\mathfrak{A}$ is the radical of $\mathfrak{A}$. It is direct from its construction that U has a decomposition (called the residual root space decomposition in the sense of [Tamb])

$$U = \bigoplus_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)} U_{[g]}.$$

The index of each submodule indicates the action of $\Psi_{E/F}$, which is ${}^t v = {}^g t t^{-1} v$ for all $t \in \Psi_{E/F}$ and $v \in U_{[g]}$. Notice that if $[g] = [h]$ then $U_{[g]} \cong U_{[h]}$ as $\Psi_{E/F}$-modules. Hence the index notation $U_{[g]}$ for the submodules are well-defined.

The subspace V of U is $\Psi_{E/F}$-invariant. Such action on V is equivalent to the $\Psi_{E/F}$-module structure induced by the normalization of $E^\times \subseteq G(F)$. Hence V decomposes accordingly. We therefore obtain a finer decomposition of V as follows.

Proposition 2.8 (Complete decomposition of V)**.** *The $\mathbf{k}_F\Psi_{E/F}$-module V decomposes into an orthogonal sum*

$$V = \bigoplus_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'} V_{[g]}$$

with respect to the alternating form h_θ such that

(i) each $V_{[g]}$ is either trivial or isomorphic to the field extension of $\mathbf{k}_{{}^gE E}$ and

(ii) $V_{[g]} \subseteq V_{E_j/E_{j+1}}$ if and only if $[g] \in W_E\backslash W_{E_{j+1}}/W_E - W_E\backslash W_{E_j}/W_E$.

□

Each non-trivial symplectic components of V is either of the form $U_{[g]} \oplus U_{[g^{-1}]}$ for $[g]$ asymmetric, or $U_{[g]}$ for $[g]$ symmetric. We write $\mathbb{V}_{[g]}$ to be the corresponding symplectic component. We attach to each cyclic subgroup $\Gamma = \mu$ and ϖ in $\Psi_{E/F}$ and each symplectic component $\mathbb{V}_{[g]}$ of V the symplectic signs, which are called t-factors in [Tamb]. They are of the form

$$t^0_\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_{[g]}) = \pm 1 \text{ and a character } t^1_\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_{[g]}) : \Gamma \to \{\pm 1\}.$$

We also denote

$$t_\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_{[g]}) = t^0_\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_{[g]}) t^1_\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_{[g]})(\gamma)$$

for any generator $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The t-factors are certain invariants of a symplectic module which reflect its structural (hyperbolic/anisotropic) properties. The factors also appear in the automorphic induction formula of the supercuspidal representation, as formulated in [BH10]. Since the essentially tame local Langlands correspondence is based on these formulae, the t-factors also appear in the description of the rectifiers. We summarize those values in a tables in section 2.5.2.

2.4 Complementary modules

Let W be the complementary module of V, in the sense that

$$W = \bigoplus_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'} W_{[g]}$$

where $W_{[g]}$ is either trivial or isomorphic to $U_{[g]}$ and

$$W \oplus V = \bigoplus_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'} U_{[g]}.$$

For those $[g]$ such that $W_{[g]}$ is non-trivial, we write $\mathbb{W}_{[g]}$ the component $U_{[g]} \oplus U_{[g^{-1}]}$ for $[g]$ asymmetric or $U_{[g]}$ for $[g]$ symmetric. Let K be the maximal unramified extension in E/F. We introduce the extra t-factor $t(\mathbb{W}_{[g]})$ which appear in the explicit expression of the rectifier.

We regard ξ as an admissible character over K. Let $E = E_0 \supsetneq E_1 \cdots \supsetneq E_t \supsetneq E_{t+1} = K$ and $\{r_0, \dots, r_t\}$ be the jump data of ξ. Write $W^{K_{l-1}} = W_{E_0/E_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{E_t/E_{t+1}}$. As in [BH05b] there is a quadratic form Q_K on W^K emerged when analyzing the Mackey induction formula of the supercuspidal π_ξ as a compactly induced representation. The form Q_K decomposes into a product of Q^j_K on each $W_{E_j/E_{j+1}}$, which is expressed in Proposition 5.7 of [BH05b]. We can further decompose the module

$$W^K = \bigoplus_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_K/W_E)'} W_{[g]}.$$

Let $Q_{[g]}$ be the restriction of Q^j_K on $W_{[g]}$ if $[g] \in W_E\backslash W_{E_j}/W_E - W_E\backslash W_{E_{j+1}}/W_E$. We therefore define in all cases

$$t(\mathbb{W}_{[g]}) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{n}(Q_{[g]}, \psi_F), & \text{if } W_{[g]} \text{ is non-trivial} \\ 1, & \text{if } W_{[g]} \text{ is trivial} \end{cases}.$$

Here $\mathfrak{n}(Q_{[g]}, \psi_F)$ is a quadratic Gauss sum. In section 2.5.3 we will deal with quadratic Gauss sums in general and compute the values of $t(\mathbb{W}_{[g]})$ for each $[g] \in (W_E\backslash W_K/W_E)'$.

Remark 2.9. The quadratic form Q_K is defined by a chosen simple character θ of ξ. This means that each factor Q^j_K depends on the Howe factor ξ_j and the character $\psi_K = \psi_F \circ \mathrm{tr}_{K/F}$ fixed already in section 1.3.

We give a final remark in this section about the remaining $[g] \in W_E\backslash W_F/W_E - W_E\backslash W_K/W_E$. The comparison between the Mackey formula and the automorphic induction formula over the cyclic extension K/F is more complicated than that in the totally ramified case. (For example, compare Theorem C in the Introduction of [BH05b] with (6.5.3) of [BH10].) We do not have a quadratic form in this case and therefore we do not have the extra t-factor. We then circumvent this problem by a fact Proposition 3.5 about the parity of the set $W_E\backslash W_F/W_E - W_E\backslash W_K/W_E$. Then we assign an extra -1, no matter $V_{[g]}$ is trivial or not, to each $\chi_g(\varpi_E)$ in addition to the t-factors.

2.5 Table of values

2.5.1 Galois groups

We first recall [Tamb] a description of the elements in a Weil group, or more precisely a finite Galois group. Let L be the Galois closure of E/F. Define the F operators

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &: \zeta \mapsto \zeta^q \text{ for all } \zeta \in \mu_L \quad \text{and} \quad \varpi_E \mapsto \zeta_\phi \varpi_E \\ \sigma &: \zeta \mapsto \zeta \text{ for all } \zeta \in \mu_L \quad \text{and} \quad \varpi_E \mapsto \zeta_e \varpi_E \end{aligned}$$

for some $\zeta_\phi \in \mu_E$ and an eth root of $\zeta_{E/F}^{q-1}$, since $\phi \circ \sigma \circ \phi^{-1} = \sigma^q$. Therefore

(i) we can write our Galois group as $\Gamma_{L/F} = W_F/W_L = \langle\sigma\rangle \rtimes \langle\phi\rangle$ and

(ii) we can choose representatives of $W_E\backslash W_F/W_E$ of the form $\sigma^k\phi^i$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/f$ and $k \in q^f\backslash(\mathbb{Z}/e)$ the set of orbits in $\mathbb{Z}/e$ under the action $x \mapsto q^f x$.

Let λ_{ki} be a root in $\Phi(G, T)$ whose W_F-orbit corresponds to $[\sigma^k\phi^i]$, i.e. $\lambda_{ki}(\zeta) = \zeta^{q^i-1}$ for all $\zeta \in \mu_E$ and $\lambda_{ki}(\varpi_E) = \zeta_e^k \zeta_{\phi^i}$ for some fixed $\zeta_{\phi^i} \in \mu_E$.

2.5.2 Symplectic signs

Write $\Psi_{E/F} = E^\times/F^\times U_E^1$. Recall that a non-trivial symplectic component in V is either of the form $\mathbb{V} = U_{\pm[g]} = U_{[g]} \oplus U_{[g^{-1}]}$ for $[g]$ asymmetric or $\mathbb{V} = U_{[g]}$ for $[g]$ symmetric. In [Tamb] we have compute the following t-factors.

(i) If $\mathbb{V}$ is trivial, then $t^0_\Gamma(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $t^1_\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is a trivial character for all cyclic subgroup Γ of $\Psi_{E/F}$.

(ii) If $[g] = [\sigma^k\phi^i]$ is asymmetric, then

$$t^0_\mu(U_{\pm[\sigma^k\phi^i]}) = 1 \text{ and } t^1_\mu(U_{\pm[\sigma^k\phi^i]}) = \mathrm{sgn}_{\alpha^{q^i-1}(\mu_E)}(U_{[\sigma^k\phi^i]}).$$

and

$$t^0_\varpi(U_{\pm[\sigma^k\phi^i]}) = 1 \text{ and } t^1_\varpi(U_{\pm[\sigma^k\phi^i]})(\varpi_E) = \mathrm{sgn}_{\zeta_e^k\zeta_{\phi^i}}(U_{[\sigma^k\phi^i]}).$$

(iii) If $[g]$ is symmetric, then $[g] = [\sigma^k]$ or $[g] = [\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]$. By slightly abusing language, we call those symmetric $[\sigma^k]$ totally ramified and those symmetric $[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]$ unramified. If $U_{[g]}$ constitute a component in V then we have shown in [Tamb] that $[g] \neq [1]$ or $[\sigma^{e/2}]$.

  (a) If $[g]$ is symmetric totally ramified, i.e. $[g] = [\sigma^k]$, $k \neq 0$ or $e/2$, then

$$t^0_\mu(U_{[\sigma^k]}) = 1 \text{ and } t^1_\mu(U_{[\sigma^k]}) \equiv 1.$$

  and

$$t^0_\varpi(U_{[\sigma^k]}) = -1 \text{ and } t^1_\varpi(U_{[\sigma^k]}) : \varpi_E \mapsto \left(\frac{\zeta_e^k}{\mu_{p^s+1}}\right),$$

  where $|\mathbb{F}_p[\zeta_e^k]/\mathbb{F}_p| = 2s$ and $\mu_{p^s+1} = \ker(N_{\mathbb{F}_p[\zeta_e^k]/\mathbb{F}_p[\zeta_e^k]_\pm})$ for the quadratic extension $\mathbb{F}_p[\zeta_e^k]/\mathbb{F}_p[\zeta_e^k]_\pm$.

  (b) If $[g]$ is symmetric unramified, i.e. $[g] = [\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]$, then

$$t^0_\mu(U_{[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]}) = -1 \text{ and } t^1_\mu(U_{[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]}) : \zeta \mapsto \left(\frac{\zeta^{q^{f/2}-1}}{\mu_{q^{f/2}+1}}\right)$$

  and $t^i_\varpi(U_{[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]})$ by the following cases. Write $\lambda_{k,f/2}$ the corresponding root $x \mapsto {}^{\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}}xx^{-1}$.

    (I) If $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) = 1$, then

$$t^0_\varpi(U_{[\phi^{f/2}]}) = 1 \text{ and } t^1_\varpi(U_{[\phi^{f/2}]}) \equiv 1.$$

    (II) If $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) = -1$, then

$$t^0_\varpi(U_{[\sigma^{e/2}\phi^{f/2}]}) = 1 \text{ and } t^1_\varpi(U_{[\sigma^{e/2}\phi^{f/2}]})(\varpi_E) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(q^{f/2}-1)}.$$

    (III) If $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) \neq \pm 1$, then

$$t^0_\varpi(U_{[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]}) = -1 \text{ and } t^1_\varpi(U_{[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]}) : \varpi_E \mapsto \left(\frac{\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)}{\mu_{p^s+1}}\right),$$

    where $|\mathbb{F}_p[\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)]/\mathbb{F}_p| = 2s$ and $\mu_{p^s+1} = \ker(N_{\mathbb{F}_p[\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)]/\mathbb{F}_p[\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)]_\pm})$ for the quadratic extension $\mathbb{F}_p[\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)]/\mathbb{F}_p[\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)]_\pm$.

2.5.3 Quadratic Gauss sums

Let W be a finite dimensional $\mathbf{k}_F$-vector space, $Q : W \to \mathbf{k}_F$ be a quadratic form and ψ_F be a non-trivial character of $\mathbf{k}_F$. We define the Gauss sum $\mathfrak{g}(Q,\psi_F) = \sum_{v\in W}\psi_F(Q(v))$. The simplest example is when $W = \mathbf{k}_F$ and $Q(x) = x^2$. In this case we denote the Gauss sum by $\mathfrak{g}(\psi_F)$. Suppose Q is non-degenerate, i.e. $\det Q \neq 0$, then we can show that

$$\mathfrak{g}(Q,\psi_F) = \left(\frac{\det Q}{\mathbf{k}_F^\times}\right)\mathfrak{g}(\psi_F)^{\dim_{\mathbf{k}_F} W}. \tag{7}$$

We also define the normalized Gauss sum $\mathfrak{n}(Q,\psi_F) = (\# W)^{-1/2}\mathfrak{g}(Q,\psi_F)$ and $\mathfrak{n}(\psi_F) = q^{-1/2}\mathfrak{g}(\psi_F)$. The equation (7) is still true if we replace $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{n}$. We can easily show that the Gauss sum is a convoluted sum

$$\mathfrak{g}(\psi_F) = \sum_{x\in\mathbf{k}_F}\left(\frac{x}{\mathbf{k}_F^\times}\right)\psi_F(x).$$

From this point we can deduce that $\mathfrak{n}(Q,\psi_F)^2 = \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)$, i.e. the normalize sum $\mathfrak{n}(Q,\psi_F)$ is a 4th root of unity.

We consider those $[g]$ such that $U_{[g]}$ is a component of W, and write $\mathbb{W}_{[g]}$ to be the component $U_{[g]}\oplus U_{[g^{-1}]}$ for $[g]$ asymmetric or $U_{[g]}$ for $[g]$ symmetric. Write $Q_{[g]}$ be the restriction of Q on $\mathbb{W}_{[g]}$. Suppose $Q_{[g]}$ is non-degenerate. We first consider $[g] \neq [\sigma^{e/2}]$, i.e. the corresponding root λ satisfies $\lambda^2 \neq 1$. By Proposition 4.4 of [BH05b] we have

$$\left(\frac{\det Q_{[g]}}{\mathbf{k}_F^\times}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)^{\dim_{\mathbf{k}_F}\mathbb{W}_{[g]}} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)^{\dim_{\mathbf{k}_F} U_{[g]}}, & \text{if } [g] \text{ is asymmetric} \\ -\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)^{\dim_{\mathbf{k}_F} U_{[g]}/2}, & \text{if } [g] \text{ is symmetric} \end{cases}. \tag{8}$$

In particular the discriminant of $Q_{[g]}$ mod $\mathbf{k}_F^{\times 2}$ is determined by the underlying $\mathbf{k}_F\Psi_{E/F}$-module structure. Therefore using (7) we have

$$\mathfrak{n}(Q_{[g]},\psi_F) = \left(\frac{\det Q_{[g]}}{\mathbf{k}_F^\times}\right)\mathfrak{n}(\psi_F)^{\dim_{\mathbf{k}_F}\mathbb{W}_{[g]}} = \pm\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)^{\dim_{\mathbf{k}_F}\mathbb{W}_{[g]}/2}\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)^{\dim_{\mathbf{k}_F}\mathbb{W}_{[g]}/2} = \pm 1,$$

where the sign is determined by the symmetry of $[g]$ as in (8). In other words, the Gauss sum depends only on the symmetry of $[g]$ and is independent to the quadratic form Q. In the exceptional case $[g] = [\sigma^{e/2}]$, the sum $\mathfrak{n}(Q_{[g]},\psi_F)$ is an arbitrary 4th root of unity.

2.5.4 Rectifiers

For each character ξ we give the values of the rectifier ${}_F\mu_\xi$ following [BH05a], [BH05b] and [BH10]. Recall that each rectifier ${}_F\mu_\xi$ admits a factorization as in (4). Since each factor being tamely ramified, it is enough to give the values of each factor on μ_E and at ϖ_E.

We first give some notations. We write

(i) the submodule

$$V_{L/M} = \prod_{\substack{\lambda\in W_F\backslash\Phi \\ \lambda|_M\equiv 1,\ \lambda|_L\neq 1}} V_\lambda$$

for a field extension L/M lying between E/F,

(ii) the submodule V^{ϖ} as the subspace of fixed points of the subgroup ϖ of $\Psi_{E/F}$, and

(iii) for any field extension K, the notations $\mathfrak{G}_K = \mathfrak{G}_K(\varpi_K,\psi_K)$, $\mathfrak{K}_K = \mathfrak{K}_K(\alpha_0,\varpi_K,\psi_K)$ and $\mathfrak{K}_K^\kappa = \mathfrak{K}_K^\kappa(\alpha_0,\varpi_K,\psi_K)$ for various Gauss sums and Kloostermann sums in [BH05b]. The exact meanings of them will be specified in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

We give the values of those ${}_{L/M}\mu_\xi$ appearing in the right side of (4).

(i) ${}_{E/K_l}\mu_\xi|_{\mu_E} \equiv 1$ and ${}_{E/K_l}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E) = \left(\frac{q^f}{e(E/K_l)}\right)$,

(ii) ${}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_\xi|_{\mu_E} = \left(\frac{\ }{\mu_E}\right)$ and ${}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E) = t_\varpi(V_{K_l/K_{l-1}})(\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_l})(\mathfrak{K}^\kappa_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-1}})$,

(iii) ${}_{K_j/K_{j-1}}\mu_\xi|_{\mu_E} \equiv 1$ and ${}_{K_j/K_{j-1}}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E) = t_\varpi(V_{K_j/K_{j-1}})(\mathfrak{G}_{K_{j-1}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_j})(\mathfrak{K}^\kappa_{K_{j-1}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{j-1}})$ for $j = l-1, \dots, 1$, and

(iv) ${}_{K/F}\mu_\xi|_{\mu_E} \equiv t^1_\mu(V_{K/F})$ and ${}_{K/F}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E) = (-1)^{e(f-1)} t^0_\mu(V/V^\varpi) t_\varpi(V_{K/F})$.

3 Main results

In this section we prove the main result Theorem 3.1 that the rectifier ${}_F\mu_\xi$ for each $\xi \in P(E/F)$ can be constructed by χ-data, in the sense that ${}_F\mu_\xi$ is a product of canonically chosen characters in χ-data $\{\chi_\lambda\}$. Let's recall the preliminary setup. For any $[g] = [\sigma^k\phi^i] \in (W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'$ let $U_{[g]}$ be the standard $\mathbf{k}_F(\Psi_{E/F})$-module defined in Section 2.3. The action of $\Psi_{E/F}$ is given in section 2.3. For $\xi \in P(E/F)$ let $V = V_\xi$ be the $\mathbf{k}_F\Psi_{E/F}$-module defined by ξ. Let $V_{[g]}$ be the $U_{[g]}$-isotypic part of V so that $V_{[g]}$ is either trivial or isomorphic to $U_{[g]}$. Let $W_{[g]}$ be the complementary subspace of $V_{[g]}$ of $U_{[g]}$. We write $\mathbb{V}_{[g]} = V_{[g]} \oplus V_{[g^{-1}]}$ and $\mathbb{W}_{[g]} = W_{[g]} \oplus W_{[g^{-1}]}$ int eh case $[g]$ is asymmetric. The t-factors

$$t^i_\mu(\mathbb{V}_{[g]}) \text{ and } t^i_\varpi(\mathbb{V}_{[g]}),\ i = 0, 1, \text{ and } t(\mathbb{W}_{[g]})$$

are computed in section 2.5.

Theorem 3.1. *(i) Let V be the $\mathbf{k}_F\Psi_{E/F}$-module defined by $\xi \in P(E/F)$. The following characters define a collection of χ-data $\{\chi_g\}$.*

(a) All χ_g are tamely ramified.

(b) If g is asymmetric, then we assign

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_{E_g}} = \mathrm{sgn}_{\mu_{E_g}}(V_{[g]}) \textit{ and } \chi_g(\varpi_E) \textit{ to be anything appropriate.}$$

(c) If g is symmetric, then we assign

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_E} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\ }{\mu_E}\right), & \textit{if } [g] = [\sigma^{e/2}], \\ t^1_\mu(V_{[g]}), & \textit{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\chi_g(\varpi_E) = \begin{cases} t_\varpi(V_{[g]})t(W_{[g]}), & \textit{if } [g] \textit{ is ramified,} \\ -t^0_\mu(V_{[g]})t^0_\mu(V^\varpi_{[g]})t_\varpi(V_{[g]}), & \textit{if } [g] \textit{ is unramified.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Let ${}_F\mu_\xi$ be the rectifier of $\xi \in P(E/F)$ and $\{\chi_g\}$ be the χ-data defined above, then

$${}_F\mu_\xi = \prod_{[g] \in (W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'} \chi_g|_{E^\times}.$$

The proof occupies the remaining of this section. The structure of the proof is as follows. Recall the factorization in (4)

$${}_F\mu_\xi = ({}_{K/F}\mu_\xi)({}_{K_1/K}\mu_\xi)\cdots({}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_\xi)({}_{E/K_l}\mu_\xi)$$

where each factor is explicitly expressed in [BH05a], [BH05b] and [BH10]. We then decompose the double cosets $(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'$ into subsets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{l+1} &= \mathcal{D}_{E/K_l} = W_E\backslash W_{K_l}/W_E - [1], \\ \mathcal{D}_l &= \mathcal{D}_{K_l/K_{l-1}} = W_E\backslash W_{K_{l-1}}/W_E - W_E\backslash W_{K_l}/W_E, \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{D}_1 &= \mathcal{D}_{K_1/K} = W_E\backslash W_{K_1}/W_E - W_E\backslash W_K/W_E, \\ \mathcal{D}_0 &= \mathcal{D}_{K/F} = W_E\backslash W_F/W_E - W_E\backslash W_K/W_E, \end{aligned}$$

Let's call $\mathcal{D}_{l+l} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{D}_1$ the totally ramified part and $\mathcal{D}_0$ the unramified part. We will prove the following

1. For each $[g] \in \mathcal{D}_j$, prove that if we define χ_g by the values in Theorem 3.1, then it satisfies the conditions of χ-data.
2. Show that
$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}_j}|_{E^\times} = \prod_{[g]\in\mathcal{D}_j} \chi_g|_{E^\times} = {}_{K_j/K_{j-1}}\mu_\xi.$$

We start proving Theorem 3.1 in case when $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{k}_F) = p \neq 2$. We first consider those asymmetric $[g]$ and then those symmetric $[g] \in \mathcal{D}_j$ for each j.

3.1 The asymmetric case

For $[g] = [\sigma^k\phi^i]$ asymmetric, we denote the modules $\mathbb{V}_{[\sigma^k\phi^i]} = V_{[\sigma^k\phi^i]} \oplus V_{[(\sigma^k\phi^i)^{-1}]}$, which is hyperbolic if it is non-trivial. Since $\chi_{(\sigma^k\phi^i)^{-1}} = ({}^{\sigma^k\phi^i}\chi_{\sigma^k\phi^i})^{-1}$, we are going to compute the restriction to $E^\times$ of the product

$$\chi_{\sigma^k\phi^i}({}^{\sigma^k\phi^i}\chi_{\sigma^k\phi^i})^{-1}|_{E^\times} = \chi^{-1}_{\sigma^k\phi^i} \circ \lambda_{ki}.$$

Our assignment gives

$$(\chi^{-1}_{\sigma^k\phi^i} \circ \lambda_{ki})|_{\mu_E} = \mathrm{sgn}_{\mu_{E_{\sigma^k\phi^i}}}(V_{[\sigma^k\phi^i]}) = t^1_\mu(\mathbb{V}_{[\sigma^k\phi^i]}) \tag{9}$$

and

$$(\chi^{-1}_{\sigma^k\phi^i} \circ \lambda_{ki})(\varpi_E) = \chi_{[\sigma^k\phi^i]}(\lambda_{ki}(\varpi_E)) = \mathrm{sgn}_{\lambda_{ki}(\varpi_E)}(V_{[\sigma^k\phi^i]}) = t^1_\varpi(\mathbb{V}_{[\sigma^k\phi^i]})(\varpi_E). \tag{10}$$

Notice that the relation in (10) does not depend on the exact value of $\chi_{\sigma^k\phi^i}(\varpi_E)$ and $\chi_{(\sigma^k\phi^i)^{-1}}(\varpi_E)$, therefore we can assign them to anything appropriate. We will use the t-factors on both right sides of (9) and (10) later in the symmetric cases when we compare the χ-data with the rectifier.

3.2 The symmetric ramified case

We now consider those $[g] \in (W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)_{\text{sym-ram}}$. They are of the form $[g] = [\sigma^k]$.

3.2.1 The case $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_{l+1}$

We first consider those symmetric $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_{l+1} = W_E\backslash W_{K_l}/W_E - [1]$, i.e. k has odd order in $\mathbb{Z}/e$. Let t, s be positive integers and minimum so that $e|(1+q^{ft})k$ and $e|(1+p^s)k$. We recall that we have assigned

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}|_{\mu_E} = t^1_\mu(V_{[\sigma^k]}) \tag{11}$$

which is 1 since μ_E acts on each $V_{[\sigma^k]}$ trivially, and

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = t_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^k]})t(W_{[\sigma^k]}). \tag{12}$$

If $V_{[\sigma^k]}$ is non-trivial, then we have

$$t^0_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^k]}) = -1 \text{ and } t^1_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^k]})(\varpi_E) = \left(\frac{\zeta_e^k}{\mu_{p^s+1}}\right) = 1$$

since ζ_e^k has odd order and p^s+1 is even. Therefore the right side of (12) is -1. If $V_{[\sigma^k]}$ is trivial, so that $W_{[\sigma^k]}$ is non-trivial, then

$$t_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^k]}) = 1 \text{ and } t(W_{[\sigma^k]}) = -1.$$

Hence we always have $\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = t_\varpi(U_{[\sigma^k]}) = -1$.

We have to check that such χ_{σ^k} in (11) and (12) satisfies the condition of χ-data

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}|_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}} = \delta_{E_{\sigma^k}/E_{\pm\sigma^k}}. \tag{13}$$

Since $E_{\sigma^k}/E_{\pm\sigma^k}$ is quadratic unramified, the norm group $N_{E_{\sigma^k}/E_{\pm\sigma^k}}(E^\times_{\sigma^k})$ has a decomposition

$$\mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}} \times \langle \zeta_e^k \varpi_E^2 \rangle \times U^1_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}$$

and let $\zeta_0 \in \mu_{E_{\sigma^k}}$ so that

$$\zeta_0 \varpi_E \in E_{\pm\sigma^k} - N_{E_{\sigma^k}/E_{\pm\sigma^k}}(E^\times_{[\sigma^k]}).$$

Therefore the condition (13) is explicitly

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}|_{\mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}} \equiv 1,\ \chi_{\sigma^k}(\zeta_e^k \varpi_E^2) = 1 \text{ and } \chi_{\sigma^k}(\zeta_0)\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = -1. \tag{14}$$

Since $\mu_E \subseteq \mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}$, the first condition of (14) implies that the quadratic character $\chi_{E_{\sigma^k}/E_{\pm\sigma^k}}$ extends χ_{σ^k} from μ_E to $\mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}$. Therefore our assignment (11) satisfies the first condition in (14). If we assume $\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = \pm 1$, then the second condition of (14) gives $\chi(\zeta_e^k) = 1$. Finally we use the third condition of (14) that $\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = -\chi_{\sigma^k}(\zeta_0)$. Since $\zeta_0^{1-q^{ft}} = \zeta_e^k = \zeta_N$ for N being odd (indeed if $k = 2^s k'$ for some odd k' and $e = 2^s d$ for some odd d, then $N = d/\gcd(k', d)$ is odd), we have $\zeta_0 \in \mu_{(1-q^{ft})N}$. Hence we have $\chi_{\sigma^k}(\zeta_0^N) = 1$ as $\zeta_0^N \in \mu_{q^{ft}-1} = \mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}$. We assumed that $\chi_{\sigma^k}(\zeta_0) = \pm 1$, and so it must be 1. Therefore we have shown that our assignment (12) satisfies the conditions in (14). In other words, χ_{σ^k} is a well-defined character in χ-data.

Finally we have to show that the product

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}_{l+1}} = \prod_{[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_{l+1}} \chi_{\sigma^k} = \prod_{[\sigma^k] \in (\mathcal{D}_{l+1})_{sym}} \chi_{\sigma^k} \prod_{[\sigma^k] \in (\mathcal{D}_{l+1})_{asym/\pm}} \chi^{-1}_{\sigma^k} \circ \lambda_{k0}$$

equals ${}_{K_l/E}\mu_\xi$. We first compute its value on μ_E. For asymmetric $[\sigma^k]$ we have right side of (9) being 1 since μ_E acts trivially on $U_{[\sigma^k]}$. Combining these with the symmetric ones in (11) and using Theorem 4.4 of [BH05a] we have

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}_{l+1}}|_{\mu_E} = 1 = \delta_{E/K_l}|_{\mu_{K_l}} = {}_{K_l/E}\mu_\xi|_{\mu_E}.$$

Next we compute the value on ϖ_E. Combining (10) and (12) we have

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}_{l+1}}(\varpi_E) = t_\varpi(U_{\mathcal{D}_{l+1}}).$$

By Lemma 4.2(ii) of [Rei91] we have the product

$$t_\varpi(U_{\mathcal{D}_{l+1}}) = \left(\frac{q^f}{e(E/K_l)}\right)$$

which equals ${}_{E/K_l}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E)$ by Theorem 4.4 of [BH05a]. We have proved Theorem 3.1 for symmetric $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_{l+1}$.

3.2.2 The case $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_l$

We next study those $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_l = \mathcal{D}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}$. We first consider the distinguished element $[g] = [\sigma^{e/2}]$. By Proposition 5.6 of [Tamb] the module $V_{[\sigma^{e/2}]}$ is always trivial. Recall that $E_{\sigma^{e/2}} = E$ and $E/E_{\pm\sigma^{e/2}}$ is quadratic totally ramified. We assign

$$\chi_{\sigma^{e/2}}|_{\mu_E} : \zeta \mapsto \left(\frac{\zeta}{\mu_E}\right). \tag{15}$$

Since $N_{E/E_{\pm\sigma^{e/2}}}(\varpi_E) = -\varpi_{E_{\pm\sigma^{e/2}}} = -\varpi_E^2$, it remains to assign $\chi_{\sigma^{e/2}}(\varpi_E)$ which satisfies

$$\chi_{\sigma^{e/2}}(\varpi_E)^2 = \chi_{\sigma^{e/2}}(\varpi_{E_{\pm\sigma^{e/2}}}) = \chi_{\sigma^{e/2}}(-1) = \left(\frac{-1}{\mu_E}\right)$$

so that it satisfies the condition of χ-data

$$\chi_{\sigma^{e/2}}|_{E^\times_{\pm\sigma^{e/2}}} = \delta_{E/E_{\pm\sigma^{e/2}}}.$$

If we assign $\chi_{\sigma^{e/2}}(\varpi_E) = t(W_{[\sigma^{e/2}]})$ then $\chi_{\sigma^{e/2}}(\varpi_E)^2 = \mathfrak{n}(\psi_{K_{l-1}})^2 = \left(\frac{-1}{\mu_E}\right)$. Therefore we have shown that $\chi_{\sigma^{e/2}}$ is a character in χ-data.

For $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_l$ symmetric, $k \neq e/2$, since μ_E acts trivially on $V_{[\sigma^k]}$, we can assign

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}|_{\mu_E} = t^1_\mu(V_{[\sigma^k]}) = 1. \tag{16}$$

To obtain the value of $\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E)$ so that χ_{σ^k} defines a character in a χ-data, i.e.

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}|_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}} = \delta_{E_{\sigma^k}/E_{\pm\sigma^k}},$$

we recall the explicit χ-data conditions in (14) that

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}|_{\mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}} \equiv 1,\ \chi_{\sigma^k}(\zeta_e^k \varpi_E^2) = 1 \text{ and } \chi_{\sigma^k}(\zeta_0)\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = -1. \tag{17}$$

Our assignment (16) satisfies the first condition since $\mu_E \subseteq \mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}$ and

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}(\mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}) = \delta_{E_{\sigma^k}/E_{\pm\sigma^k}}\left(N_{E_{\sigma^k}/E_{\pm\sigma^k}}(\mu_{E_{\sigma^k}})\right) = 1.$$

If we assume $\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = \pm 1$, then the second condition of (17) implies that $\chi_{[\sigma^k]}(\zeta_e^k) = 1$. Let $\zeta_e^k = \zeta_N$ for $N = 2M$ and M being odd. (Indeed for $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_l$ we have

$$k \in q^f \backslash (2^{s-1}\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z} - 2^s\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}).$$

So if $k = 2^{s-1}k', e = 2^s d$, then $\zeta_e^k = \zeta_{2d}^{k'} = \zeta_N$ for $N = 2d/\gcd(k', d)$.) Recall that $\zeta_0^{1-q^{ft}} = \zeta_e^k = \zeta_N$, hence $\zeta_0^N \in \mu_{1-q^{ft}} = \mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}$. Since N is even and $\chi_{\sigma^k}|_{\mu_{E_{\pm\sigma^k}}} = 1$, we can assign $\chi_{\sigma^k}(\zeta_0)$ to be either ± 1, and from the third condition of (17) we can assign $\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = -\chi_{\sigma^k}(\zeta_0)$ to be either ± 1. As in Theorem 3.1 we assign

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = t_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^k]})t(W_{[\sigma^k]})$$

and χ_{σ^k} is hence a character in χ-data.

We are ready to compute $\chi_{\mathcal{D}_l}$. On μ_E we combine (15), (16) and (9) to obtain

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}_l}|_{\mu_E} = \left(\frac{\quad}{\mu_E}\right) = \left(\frac{\quad}{\mu_{K_{l-1}}}\right) = \delta_{K_l/K_{l-1}},$$

which equals ${}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_\xi|_{\mu_E}$ by (3.1.1) of [BH05b].

To compare $\chi_{\mathcal{D}_l}(\varpi_E)$ with ${}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E)$, we recall from Theorem 6.6 [BH05b] that

$${}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E) = t_\varpi(V_{\mathcal{D}_l})(\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_l})(\mathfrak{K}^\kappa_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-1}})$$

where $\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{K_l}$ are products of certain Gauss sum and $\mathfrak{K}^\kappa_{K_{l-1}}$ and $\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-1}}$ are certain Kloostermann sum. Their values would be specified in the subsequent calculations. We need to proceed case by case by the virtue of [BH05b] and adopt the notations there. Regard ξ as a admissible character over K_{l-1} and let $E = E_0 \supsetneq E_1 \cdots \supsetneq E_t \supsetneq E_{t+1} = K_{l-1}$ and $\{r_0, \dots, r_t\}$ be the jump data of ξ. We let (see section 1.2 of [BH05b])

$$\begin{aligned} &i^+ \text{ be the largest odd jump of } \xi,\\ &d^+ = [E_{j+1}/K_{l-1}] \text{ if } r_j = i^+, \text{ and}\\ &i_+ \text{ be the least jump } r_j \text{ of } \xi \text{ so that } [E_{j+1}/K_{l-1}] \text{ is odd.}\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

(Notice that there is a shift of index between ours and those in [BH05b], e.g. our r_0 is denoted by r_1 in [BH05b].) By genericity of ξ we always have $i_+ \geq i^+$. We first distinguish between $r_0 > 1$ and $r_0 = 1$. If $r_0 > 1$, then $\chi_{\mathcal{D}_l} = t_\varpi(V_{\mathcal{D}_l})t(W_{\mathcal{D}_l})$ where

$$t(W_{\mathcal{D}_l}) = \prod_{j=0}^{t} \mathfrak{n}(\zeta_j(\varpi_E)Q^j_{K_l}, \psi_{K_l}).$$

This equals $\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_l}$ by (8.2.1) of [BH05b]. Also by convention $\mathfrak{K}^{\kappa}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-1}} = 1$ in the case $r_0 > 1$. Therefore we have checked that

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}_l}(\varpi_E) = t_{\varpi}(V_{\mathcal{D}_l})t(W_{\mathcal{D}_l}) = t_{\varpi}(V_{\mathcal{D}_l})(\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_l})(\mathfrak{K}^{\kappa}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-1}}) = {}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_{\xi}(\varpi_E).$$

If $r_0 = 1$, we separate into three subcases, namely

$$i_+ = i^+ = r_0 = 1,\ i_+ > i^+ = r_0 = 1 \text{ and } i_+ \geq i^+ > r_0 = 1.$$

If $i_+ = i^+ = r_0 = 1$, then the jumps are of the form $\{1, 2s_1, ..., 2s_t\}$ and $d^+ = |E_1/K_{l-1}|$ is odd, we have

$$\bigoplus_{j\geq 1} W^{K_{l-1}}_{E_j/E_{j+1}} = 0 \text{ and } W^{K_{l-1}} = W^{K_{l-1}}_{E/E_1}.$$

The first equation gives

$$t\left(\bigoplus_{j\geq 1} W^{K_{l-1}}_{E_j/E_{j+1}}\right) = 1$$

which equals $\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_l}$ by Lemma 8.1(1) of [BH05b]. The second equation is indeed

$$W^{K_{l-1}}_{E/E_1} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{|E/E_1|} W_{[\sigma^{k|E_1/K|}]}.$$

Here K is the maximal unramified extension of E/F. Therefore we have

$$(W_{E/E_1})_{\mathcal{D}_l} = \bigoplus_{k \text{ is odd}} W_{[\sigma^{k|E_1/K|}]}. \tag{19}$$

This module is denoted by $\mathcal{Y}$ in [BH05b] section 8.2. Notice that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}_K} \mathcal{Y} = |E/E_1|/2$ is odd. It is enough to check the Kloostermann sum equals to our normalized Gauss sum $\mathfrak{n}(\zeta_0(\varpi_E)Q_{K_{l-1}}|_{\mathcal{Y}}, \psi_{K_{l-1}})$. Indeed if we define the bilinear form $\zeta_0(\varpi_E)Q_{K_{l-1}}$ on W_0, then by the proof of [BH05b] Proposition 8.3 (still goes for $s = 0$ verbatim) we have $\left(\frac{Q_{K_{l-1}}|_{\mathcal{Y}}}{q^f}\right) = \left(\frac{|E_1/K_{l-1}|}{q^f}\right)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} t(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathfrak{n}(\zeta_0(\varpi_E)Q_{K_{l-1}}|_{\mathcal{Y}}, \psi_{K_{l-1}}) &= \left(\frac{\zeta_0(\varpi_E)}{q^f}\right)^{\dim \mathcal{Y}} \left(\frac{Q_{K_{l-1}}|_{\mathcal{Y}}}{q^f}\right) \mathfrak{n}(\psi_{K_{l-1}})^{\dim \mathcal{Y}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\zeta_0(\varpi_E)}{q^f}\right) \left(\frac{|E_1/K_{l-1}|}{q^f}\right) \mathfrak{n}(\psi_{K_{l-1}})^{|E/K_{l-1}|/2d^+}. \end{aligned}$$

This equals $\mathfrak{K}^{\kappa}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-1}}$ by Proposition 9.3 of [BH05b]. Therefore

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}_l}(\varpi_E) = t_{\varpi}(V_{\mathcal{D}_l})t(\mathcal{Y})t\left(\bigoplus_{j\geq 1} W^{K_{l-1}}_{E_j/E_{j+1}}\right) = t_{\varpi}(V_{\mathcal{D}_l})(\mathfrak{K}^{\kappa}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-1}})(\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_l}) = {}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_{\xi}(\varpi_E).$$

For $i_+ > i^+ = r_0 = 1$, we show that such case does not exist. Here $i^+ = 1$ implies that the set $\mathcal{D}_{E/K_{l-1}}$ of jumps of ξ equals $\{1, r_1, \dots, r_t\}$, where r_i are all even for $i > 0$. Let $E \supsetneq E_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq E_t \supseteq E_{t+1} = K_{l-1}$ be the corresponding subfields. The condition (5) implies $e(E/E_i)$ and $e(E/E_{i+1})$ have the same parity for all i. Since $e(E/E_{t+1}) = e(E/K_{l-1}) = 2d$ is even, we have that $e(E/E_1)$ is also even and $e(E_1/K_{l-1})$ divides d. So $e(E_1/K_{l-1})$ is odd. This implies $i_+ = 1$.

If $i_+ \geq i^+ > r_0 = 1$, let r_i be the smallest jump such that $e(E/E_i)$ is odd and $e(E/E_{i+1})$ is even. Hence r_i must be odd by (5) and $r_i \leq i^+$. We have that $e(E_{i+1}/K_{l-1})$ is odd and so $i_+ = r_{i+1}$. Therefore $r_i = i^+$. Hence

$$W^{K_{l-1}} = \bigoplus_{r_j \leq i^+,\, r_j \text{ is odd}} W_j$$

which contains W_{E/E_1} and $W_{E_i/E_{i+1}}$ with $W_{[\sigma^{e/2}]} \subseteq W_{E_i/E_{i+1}}$. Since $|E_{i^+}/E_{i_+}|$ is even, We can check $W^{K_{l-1}}_{\mathcal{D}_l} = W^{K_{l-1}}_{E_i/E_{i+1}}$. In particular $\mathcal{Y} = (W_{E/E_1})_{\mathcal{D}_l} = 0$ and so $t(\mathcal{Y}) = 1$. This is $\mathfrak{K}^{\kappa}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-1}}$ by Lemma 8.1(3) of [BH05b]. Therefore $t(W_{\mathcal{D}_l}) = \mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_l}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{D}_l}(\varpi_E) = {}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}\mu_{\xi}(\varpi_E)$.

Combining all cases, we have proved Theorem 3.1 for $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_l \sqcup \mathcal{D}_{l-1}$.

3.2.3 The case $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_{l-1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{D}_1$

For $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_{l-1}$ we first consider another distinguished element $[g] = [\sigma^{e/4}]$, in case if it is symmetric.

Lemma 3.2. *The following are equivalent.*

(i) $[\sigma^{e/4}]$ *is symmetric.*

(ii) $(1+q^{ft})/2$ *is even for some* t*.*

(iii) $q^f \equiv 3 \mod 4$*.*

(iv) $\left(\frac{-1}{q^f}\right) = -1$*.*

(v) The degree 4 totally ramified extension K_l/K_{l-2} *is non Galois.*

Proof. By the definition of symmetry, (i) is equivalent to the statement that e divides $(1+q^{ft})e/4$ for some t, which is clearly equivalent to (ii). That (ii)⇔(iii) and (iii)⇔(iv) are clear. A totally ramified extension E/F of degree 4 is non-Galois if and only if 4 does not divide $q_f - 1$. Hence (v) is equivalent to (iii). ☐

Consider ξ as a admissible character of $E^\times$ over K_{l-2} and let $E = E_0 \supsetneq E_1 \cdots \supsetneq E_t \supsetneq E_{t+1} = K_{l-2}$ and $\{r_0, \dots, r_t\}$ be the corresponding jump data. Also define i^+ and i_+ as in (18) and recall that $i_+ \geq i^+$ always. We can characterize $V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]}$ by the following.

Lemma 3.3. $V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]}$ *is non-trivial if and only if* $i_+ > i^+$*.*

Proof. Let r_j be the jump that $V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]} \subseteq V_j$. The sufficient condition is equivalent to that r_j is even, and by Lemma 1.2 of [BH05b] the necessary condition is equivalent to that i_+ is even. Hence $4|e(E/E_j)$ and $e(E_j/K_{l-2})$ is odd. We have $r_j = i_+$. ☐

We assign

$$\chi_{\sigma^{e/4}}|_{\mu_E} = t^1_\mu(V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]}) \equiv 1 \tag{20}$$

and

$$\chi_{\sigma^{e/4}}(\varpi_E) = t_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]})t(W_{[\sigma^{e/4}]}) = \begin{cases} t_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]}), & \text{if } V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]} \text{ is non-trivial} \\ t(W_{[\sigma^{e/4}]}) = -1, & \text{if } V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]} \text{ is trivial} \end{cases}.$$

The verification of $\chi_{\sigma^{e/4}}$ being a χ-data, no matter $V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]}$ is trivial or not, is similar to the statement following (17).

Remark 3.4. We can compute the value of $t_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]})$ if $V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]}$ is non-trivial, i.e. $V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]} = \mathbf{k}_E(\zeta_4)$. By Lemma 3.2 $q^f \equiv 3 \mod 4$, which implies also $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$. We have $|\mathbb{F}_p(\zeta_4)/\mathbb{F}_p| = 2$ and that $r = |\mathbf{k}_E(\zeta_4)/\mathbb{F}_p(\zeta_4)| = |\mathbf{k}_E/\mathbb{F}_p|$ must be odd by Lemma 4.5 of [Tamb]. Therefore

$$t_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^{e/4}]}) = \left(-\left(\frac{\zeta_4}{\mu_{p+1}}\right)\right)^r = -\left(\frac{\zeta_4}{\mu_{p+1}}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ p \equiv 3 \mod 8 \\ -1 & \text{if } \ p \equiv 7 \mod 8 \end{cases}.$$

☐

For other symmetric $[\sigma^k]$, $k \neq e/4$, we proceed as in the case $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_l$, $k \neq e/2$, and obtain

$$\chi_{\sigma^k}|_{\mu_E} = t^0_\mu(V_{[\sigma^k]}) = 1 \text{ and } \chi_{\sigma^k}(\varpi_E) = t_\varpi(V_{[\sigma^k]})t(W_{[\sigma^k]}). \tag{21}$$

Again we can use similar statements following (17) to verify that χ_{σ^k} is a character in a χ-data.

On μ_E we combine (20), (21) and (9) to obtain $\chi_{\mathcal{D}_{l-1}}|_{\mu_E} \equiv 1$, which equals ${}_{K_{l-1}/K_{l-2}}\mu_\xi|_{\mu_E}$ by Theorem 3.2 of [BH05b]. To compare $\chi_{\mathcal{D}_{l-1}}(\varpi_E)$ with ${}_{K_{l-1}/K_{l-2}}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E)$, again we distinguish between cases. When $r_0 > 1$, the situation is similar to the case $[g] \in \mathcal{D}_{K_l/K_{l-1}}$. When $r_0 = 1$ we distinguish between $i_+ = i^+ = r_0 = 1$, $i_+ > i^+ = r_0 = 1$ and $i^+ > r_0 = 1$.

If $i_+ = i^+ = r_0 = 1$, then $|E_1/K_{l-2}|$ is odd. Let

$$\mathcal{Y} = (W_{E/E_1})_{\mathcal{D}_{l-1}} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/|E/E_1|,\, k \text{ is odd}} W_{\sigma^{k|E_1/K|}}$$

defined similarly as in (19). Then $\dim \mathcal{Y} = |E/E_1|/2$ is even. As in the proof of [BH05b] Proposition 8.4 (which is still true for $r_0 = 1$), we have $\left(\frac{\det Q_{K_{l-1}}|_{\mathcal{Y}}}{q^f}\right) = 1$ and so

$$t(\mathcal{Y}) = \left(\frac{\zeta_0(\varpi_E)}{q^f}\right)^{\dim \mathcal{Y}} \left(\frac{\det Q_{K_{l-1}}|_{\mathcal{Y}}}{q^f}\right) \mathfrak{g}(\psi_{K_{l-2}})^{\dim \mathcal{Y}} = \left(\frac{-1}{q^f}\right)^{|E/E_1|/4}.$$

This equals $\mathfrak{K}^{\kappa}_{K_{l-2}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-2}}$ by 9.3 of [BH05b]. Moreover $i^+ = 1$ implies that $\bigoplus_{j \geq 1}(W_{E_j/E_{j+1}})_{\mathcal{D}_{l-1}} = 0$. Hence

$$t\left(\bigoplus_{j \geq 1}(W_{E_j/E_{j+1}})_{\mathcal{D}_{l-1}}\right) = 1 = \mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-2}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}}$$

by Lemma 8.1(1) of [BH05b]. Therefore $\chi_{K_{K_{l-1}/K_{l-2}}}(\varpi_E) = {}_{K_{l-1}/K_{l-2}}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E)$.

For $i_+ > i^+ = r_0 = 1$, we must have that $|E_1/K_{l-2}|$ is even, otherwise $i_+ = 1$. If $[\sigma^{k|K_{l-2}/K|}] \in \mathcal{D}_{l-1} \cap W_E \backslash W_{E_1}/W_E$, then k is odd and also a multiple of $|E_1/K_{l-2}|$. No such k exists. Therefore $\mathcal{Y}$ is trivial and $t(\mathcal{Y}) = 1 = \mathfrak{K}^{\kappa}_{K_{l-2}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-2}}$ by Proposition 8.1 of [BH05b]. Hence again $\chi_{K_{K_{l-1}/K_{l-2}}}(\varpi_E) = {}_{K_{l-1}/K_{l-2}}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E)$. The case $i^+ > r_0 = 1$ is similar. In all case we have proved that $\chi_{K_{K_{l-1}/K_{l-2}}}(\varpi_E) = {}_{K_{l-1}/K_{l-2}}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E)$.

For $[\sigma^k] \in \mathcal{D}_{l-2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{D}_1$, the proof that χ_{σ^k} defines a character in χ-data and that $\chi_{\mathcal{D}_j} = {}_{K_j/K_{j-1}}\mu_\xi$ are very similar to the previous cases. Notice in this case we have, by Proposition 8.4 and 9.3 of [BH05b], that

$$\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-2}}/\mathfrak{G}_{K_{l-1}} = \mathfrak{K}^{\kappa}_{K_{l-2}}/\mathfrak{K}_{K_{l-2}} = 1.$$

We have proved Theorem 3.1 for all symmetric $[g] = [\sigma^k]$.

3.3 The symmetric unramified case

We now consider those $[g] \in (W_E \backslash W_F / W_E)_{\text{sum-unram}}$. They are of the form $[g] = [\sigma^k \phi^{f/2}]$. If $\lambda_{k,f/2}$ is the corresponding root $x \mapsto {}^{\sigma^k \phi^{f/2}}x x^{-1}$, then we distinguish the following three cases

$$\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) = 1,\ \lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) = -1, \text{ and } \lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) \neq \pm 1.$$

3.3.1 The case $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) = 1$

When $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E) = 1$ we have $E_g = E$. If we denote $E_{\pm g} = E_\pm$, then the χ-data conditions are

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_{E_\pm}} \equiv 1,\ \chi_g(\varpi_E^2) = 1 \text{ and } \chi_g(\zeta_0 \varpi_E) = -1, \tag{22}$$

where $\zeta_0 \in \mu_E$ so that $\zeta_0^{q^{f/2}-1} = 1$, i.e. $\zeta_0 \in \mu_{E_\pm} \subseteq \mu_E$. If $V_{[g]}$ is trivial, then our assignments are

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_E} = t^1_\mu(V_{[g]}) \equiv 1 \text{ and } \chi_g(\varpi_E) = -t^0_\mu(V_{[g]})^2 t_\varpi(V_{[g]}) = -1.$$

which satisfies the conditions in (22). If $V_{[g]}$ is non-trivial, then the assignments are

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_E} = t^1_\mu(V_{[g]}) : \zeta \mapsto \left(\frac{\zeta^{q^{f/2}-1}}{\mu_{q^{f/2}+1}}\right)$$

and

$$\chi_g(\varpi_E) = -t^0_\mu(V_{[g]})^2 t_\varpi(V_{[g]}) = -(-1)^2(1) = -1.$$

Since $\mu_{E_\pm} = \mu_{q^{f/2}-1}$, we have $t^1_\mu(V_{[g]})(\mu_{E_\pm}) = 1$. The conditions in (22) are readily satisfied. Therefore χ_g is a character of χ-data.

3.3.2 The case $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)=-1$

For $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)=-1$, again $E_g=E$ and is quadratic unramified over $E_\pm=E_{\pm g}$. The χ-data conditions are

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_{E_\pm}}\equiv 1,\ \chi_g(-\varpi_E^2)=1 \text{ and } \chi_g(\zeta_0\varpi_E)=-1. \tag{23}$$

Here $\zeta_0\in\mu_E$ satisfies $\zeta_0^{q^{f/2}-1}=-1$, i.e. ζ_0 is a primitive root in $\mu_{2(q^{f/2}-1)}$. If $V_{[g]}$ is trivial, we assign

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_E}=t_\mu^1(V_{[g]})\equiv 1 \text{ and } \chi_g(\varpi_E)=-t_\mu^0(V_{[g]})t_\varpi(V_{[g]})=-1.$$

By noticing that $\zeta_0\in\mu_{2(q^{f/2}-1)}\subset\mu_{q^f-1}=\mu_E$, the verification is an easy analogue of the previous case.

When $V_{[g]}$ is non-trivial, our assignments are

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_E}=t_\mu^1(V_{[g]}):\zeta\mapsto\left(\frac{\zeta^{q^{f/2}-1}}{\mu_{q^{f/2}+1}}\right) \tag{24}$$

and

$$\chi_g(\varpi_E)=-t_\mu^0(V_{[g]})t_\varpi(V_{[g]})=-(-1)(1)(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(q^{f/2}-1)}=(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(q^{f/2}-1)}. \tag{25}$$

Since $\mu_{E_\pm}=\mu_{q^{f/2}-1}$, our assignment (24) satisfies the first condition of (23). Also the second condition of (23) is satisfied if we assume $\chi_g(\varpi_E)=\pm1$. Since

$$\chi_g(\zeta_0)=t_\mu^1(V_{[g]})(\zeta_0)=\left(\frac{\zeta_0^{q^{f/2}-1}}{\mu_{q^{f/2}+1}}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{\mu_{q^{f/2}+1}}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(q^{f/2}+1)},$$

with (25) we have

$$\chi_g(\zeta_0\varpi_E)=(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(q^{f/2}+1)}(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(q^{f/2}-1)}=-1.$$

Hence the third condition of (23) is satisfied, and χ_g is a character of χ-data.

3.3.3 The case $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)\neq\pm1$

For other $[g]=[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]$ symmetric with $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)=\zeta_e^k\zeta_{\phi^{f/2}}\neq\pm1$, we assign

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_E}=t_\mu^1(V_{[g]}) \tag{26}$$

and

$$\chi_g(\varpi_E)=-t_\mu^0(V_{[g]})t_\varpi(V_{[g]}). \tag{27}$$

We have to show that it satisfies the condition of χ-data

$$\chi_g(\mu_{E_\pm})=1,\ \chi_g(\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)\varpi_E^2)=1 \text{ and } \chi_g(\zeta_0\varpi_E)=-1, \tag{28}$$

where $\zeta_0\in\mu_{E_g}$ so that $\zeta_0\varpi_E\in E_{\pm g}$. Since $\mu_E\cap\mu_{E_\pm}=\mu_{q^f-1}\cap\mu_{q^{f(2t+1)/2}-1}=\mu_{q^{f/2}-1}$, which is mapped by

$$t_\mu^1(V_{[g]}):\zeta\mapsto\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } V_{[g]} \text{ is trivial}\\ \left(\frac{\zeta^{q^{f/2}-1}}{\mu_{q^{f/2}+1}}\right), & \text{if } V_{[g]} \text{ is non-trivial}\end{cases}$$

into 1, we can extend χ_g so that $\chi_g|_{E_{\pm g}^\times}\equiv 1$ and hence (26) satisfies the first condition of (28). Now notice that

$$\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)^{1+q^{f(2t+1)/2}}={}^{\sigma^k\phi^{f(2t+1)/2}}(\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E))\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)=1$$

and so

$$\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)\in\mu_{1+q^{f(2t+1)/2}}=\ker(N_{E_g/E_{\pm g}}).$$

Since

$$\langle\mu_E,\mu_{E_\pm}\rangle\cap\ker(N_{E_g/E_{\pm g}})=\mu_{(q^{f/2}+1)(q^{f(2t+1)/2}-1)}\cap\mu_{1+q^{f(2t+1)/2}}=\mu_{q^{f/2}+1}$$

and $t^1_\mu(V_{[g]})|_{\mu_{q^{f/2}+1}} \equiv 1$, we can extend χ_g so that $\chi_g|_{\ker(N_{E_g/E_{\pm g}})} \equiv 1$ and hence (26) satisfies the second condition of (28). It remains to show that (26) satisfies the last condition of (28) and hence determines $\chi_g(\varpi_E)$ as in (27). The proof is the same as that in the cases $[g] = [\sigma^k] \in (W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)_{\text{sym-ram}}$. In case $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)$ has odd order, we can show that $\chi_g(\zeta_0)$ must be 1 and also $\left(\frac{\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)}{\mu_{p^s+1}}\right) = 1$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} -t^0_\mu(V_{[g]})t_\varpi(V_{[g]}) &= -t^0_\mu(V_{[g]})t^0_\varpi(V_{[g]})t^1_\varpi(V_{[g]})(\varpi_E) \\ &= \begin{cases} -(1)(1)(1) = -1 & \text{if } V_{[g]} \text{ is trivial} \\ -(-1)(-1)\left(\frac{\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)}{\mu_{p^s+1}}\right) = -1 & \text{if } V_{[g]} \text{ is non-trivial} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we should assign $\chi_g(\varpi_E) = -1$. In case $\lambda_{k,f/2}(\varpi_E)$ has even order, we can assign $\chi_g(\zeta_0)$, hence $\chi_g(\varpi_E)$, to be either ± 1. We then assign $\chi_g(\varpi_E)$ as in (27).

We have checked that each χ_g is a character in χ-data for symmetric unramified $[g]$. Together with the asymmetric $[g]$ and those $[\sigma^k\phi^i] \in \mathcal{D}_0$ which are all automatically asymmetric, we can check whether

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}_0}(\varpi_E) = {}_{K/F}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E). \tag{29}$$

By Theorem 5.2 of [BH10] the value of the right side is

$$(-1)^{e(f-1)}t^0_\mu(V_{\mathcal{D}_0})t^0_\mu(V^\varpi_{\mathcal{D}_0})t_\varpi(V_{\mathcal{D}_0}).$$

The t-factors on both sides of (29) match by an easy comparison. Canceling the t-factors, the remaining sign on the left side of (29) is the parity of $\#(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)_{\text{sym-unram}}$. To show that this sign equals the remaining one on the right side, namely $(-1)^{e(f-1)}$, we apply the following fact.

Proposition 3.5. *The parity of $\#(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)_{\text{sym-unram}} = \#\{[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}] \in (W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)_{\text{sym}}\}$ is equal to that of $e(f-1)$.*

The proof will be in the last subsection. Granting this fact, we have proved Theorem 3.1 for $[g] \in \mathcal{D}_0$. Hence we have finished the proof of Theorem 3.1 for the residual characteristic p being odd.

The case when the residual characteristic $p = 2$ is much simpler. When E/F is tamely ramified, we have the sequence of subfields $F \subseteq K \subseteq E$ where K/F is unramified and E/K is totally ramified and has odd degree. Since the order of $\Psi_{E/F}$ is odd, all sign characters and Jacobi symbols are trivial. Hence Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following.

1. If $[g] \in (W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)_{\text{asym}}$, then

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_{E_g}} \equiv 1 \text{ and } \chi_g(\varpi_E) = \text{anything appropriate}.$$

2. If $[g] \in (W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)_{\text{sym}}$, then

$$\chi_g|_{\mu_{E_g}} \equiv 1 \text{ and } \chi_g(\varpi_E) = t^0_\varpi(V_{[g]})t(W_{[g]}) = -1.$$

The proof is just a simpler analog of the odd residual characteristic cases. We have completed the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.6. One of the conditions of Langlands Correspondence, namely $\omega_\pi = \det\sigma$ if $\mathcal{L}(\pi) = \sigma$, implies that ${}_F\mu_\xi|_{F^\times} = \det \mathrm{Ind}_{E/F}1_{W_E}$. This is a general fact about the restriction of the product of the characters in any χ-data, as established in [Tama]. □

Let L be a intermediate subfield between E/F. We regard an F-admissible character ξ as being admissible over L and compute the corresponding the rectifier ${}_L\mu_\xi$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\{\chi_g\}_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_F/W_E)'}$ be the collection of χ-data corresponding to the F-admissible character ξ. Let L be a subfield between E/F. Then we have*

$$ {}_L\mu_\xi = \prod_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)'} \chi_g|_{E^\times}, \tag{30}$$

i.e. the sub-collection $\{\chi_g\}_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)'}$ is the χ-data corresponding to ξ as an L-admissible character.

Proof. We first compute (30) when restricted to μ_E. If $e(E/L)$ is odd, then

$$\prod_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)'} \chi_g|_{\mu_E} = \prod_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)'} t^1_{\mu_E}(V_{[g]}) = t^1_{\mu_E}(V^L).$$

which equals ${}_L\mu_\xi|_{\mu_E}$ in this case. If $e(E/L)$ is even, then

$$\prod_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)'} \chi_g|_{\mu_E} = \left(\prod_{\substack{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)' \\ [g]\neq[\sigma^{e/2}]}} t^1_{\mu_E}(V_{[g]})\right)\left(\frac{}{\mu_E}\right) = t^1_{\mu_E}(V^L)\left(\frac{}{\mu_E}\right).$$

which also equals ${}_L\mu_\xi|_{\mu_E}$ in this case. We then compute (30) when restricted to ϖ_E. Let M be the maximal unramified extension in E/L and $V_{M/L}$ be the complementary module of V^M in V^L, then

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)'} \chi_g(\varpi_E) = &\left(\prod_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)_{\text{asym}/\pm}} t_{\varpi_E}(\mathbb{V}_{[g]})t(\mathbb{W}_{[g]})\right)\\ &\left(\prod_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)_{\text{sym-ram}}} t^0_{\mu_E}(V_{[g]})t^0_{\mu_E}(V^{\varpi}_{[g]})t_{\varpi_E}(V_{[g]})t(W_{[g]})\right)\\ &\left(\prod_{[g]\in(W_E\backslash W_L/W_E)_{\text{sym-unram}}} -t^0_{\mu_E}(V_{[g]})t^0_{\mu_E}(V^{\varpi}_{[g]})t_{\varpi_E}(V_{[g]})\right).\end{aligned}$$

We re-group the t-factors and obtain

$$\left(t_{\varpi_E}(V^M)t(W^M)\right)\left((-1)^{e(E/L)(f(E/L)-1)}t^0_{\mu_E}(V_{M/L})t^0_{\mu_E}(V^{\varpi}_{M/L})t_{\varpi_E}(V_{M/L})\right).$$

The first factor is ${}_M\mu_\xi(\varpi_E)$, which the second factor is ${}_{M/L}\mu_\xi(\varpi_E)$. Therefore the product is ${}_L\mu_\xi(\varpi_E)$. ☐

3.4 Proof of Proposition 3.5

The proof of Proposition 3.5 relies on knowing the parity of the number of double cosets $W_E\backslash W_K/W_E$ when E/K is totally ramified. If $|E/K| = e$ and $q = q_K$, then by section 2.5 it is equivalent to check the number of q-orbits of $\mathbb{Z}/e$. Let $o(q,d)$ be the multiplicative order of q in $(\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times$.

Lemma 3.8. *(i) The number of double cosets $W_E\backslash W_F/W_E$ equals $\sum_{d|e}\phi(d)/o(q,d)$.*

(ii) If $d = 1$ or 2, then $\phi(d)/o(q,d) = 1$. If $d \geq 3$ and q is a square, then $\phi(d)/o(q,d)$ is even.

Proof. We can partition $\mathbb{Z}/e$ into subsets $\bigsqcup_{d|e}(e/d)(\mathbb{Z}/d)^\times$. Since $(q,e) = 1$, each subset is q-invariant and has q-orbits of the same cardinality $o(q,d)$. Hence (i) is proved. (ii) is an easy calculation. ☐

Proof. (of Proposition 3.5) If f is odd, then the set $\{[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]\}$ is empty, so the statement is true. Now we assume that f is even. Since $\phi^{f/2}$ normalizes W_E, we have indeed a bijection

$$\{[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}] \in W_E\backslash W_F/W_E\} \leftrightarrow \{[\sigma^k] \in W_E\backslash W_K/W_E\}.$$

Since those asymmetric $[\sigma^k\phi^{f/2}]$ pair up, it suffices to show that the parity of $W_E\backslash W_K/W_E$ is the same as the parity of e. It is then clear by Proposition 3.8(ii). ☐

4 Related results (in preparation)

To appear

5 Appendix (in preparation)

To appear

5.1 Transfer factors

5.2 Archimedean case

References

[AC89] James Arthur and Laurent Clozel. *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, volume 120 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

[BH05a] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart. The essentially tame local Langlands correspondence. I. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18(3):685–710 (electronic), 2005.

[BH05b] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart. The essentially tame local Langlands correspondence. II. Totally ramified representations. *Compos. Math.*, 141(4):979–1011, 2005.

[BH10] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart. The essentially tame local Langlands correspondence, III: the general case. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 101(2):497–553, 2010.

[BK93] C.J. Bushnell and P.C. Kutzko. *The admissible dual of GL(N) via compact open subgroups.* Annals of mathematics studies. Princeton University Press, 1993.

[HH95] Guy Henniart and Rebecca Herb. Automorphic induction for GL(n) (over local non-Archimedean fields). *Duke Math. J.*, 78(1):131–192, 1995.

[HT01] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor. *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, volume 151 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich.

[Lan94] Serge Lang. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 110 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1994.

[LS87] R. P. Langlands and D. Shelstad. On the definition of transfer factors. *Math. Ann.*, 278(1-4):219–271, 1987.

[Moy86] Allen Moy. Local constants and the tame Langlands correspondence. *Amer. J. Math.*, 108(4):863–930, 1986.

[Rei91] Harry Reimann. Representations of tamely ramified p-adic division and matrix algebras. *J. Number Theory*, 38(1):58–105, 1991.

[Tama] Geo Kam-Fai Tam. On Certain Admissible Embeddings of L-groups. `arXiv:1109.4529v1 [math.RT].`

[Tamb] Geo Kam-Fai Tam. On finite symplectic modules arising from supercuspidal representations. *(preprint).*

[Tat79] J. Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 3–26. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.